Claim Number: 12587

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not inlouded.

Claim Number: 12588

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	no documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
-	indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the s, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	\square No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building a	ir sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12589

Claimant: TELUS COMMUNICATIONS,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property	^{y.} □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	o indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the t, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building a	ir sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12590

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	\square No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12591

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12592

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents c	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	\square No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12593

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbes the property.\sum No documents were provided.	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents of	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12594

Claimant: CALGARY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents c	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	\square No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12595

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #10,

Z Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
☐ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. V No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12596

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #11,

Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
\Box No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12597

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #12,

Z Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
☐ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. V No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12598

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #13,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
\Box No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12600

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #16,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty. □ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12601

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #15,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
18. Documents of the property.	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in	
	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. No documents were provided. Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property. No documents were provided. Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12602

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #17,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate tha t a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	ty. □ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the .
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12603

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #19,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. \Box No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12604

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #20,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
18. Documents c the property.	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
	ty. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12635

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #8,

☑ Category 1 Cl	laim: □(Category 1 Comments:
16. Do		lating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		☐ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	hey fail to d he building.	emonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in
18. Documents of the property.	oncerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in	
	e property.	☐ No documents were provided.
		☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
C		ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Do	cuments co	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. No documents were provided. Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Do	ocuments co	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property. No documents were provided. Documents provided are insufficient because:
k	ouilding air s	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 12636

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #9,

✓ Category 1 Claim: Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
□ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. \Box No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\Box No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 14409

Claimant: ST FRANCIS HOSPITAL,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of as	
the property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
•	ndicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents of	oncerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	oncerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 14410

Claimant: JAMESTOWN MEMORIAL HOSPITAL,

☑ Category 1 Claim: □	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents re	elating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	\square No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents of	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents of	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Attorney: STEINMEYER, AMANDA G **Law Firm:** SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 14886

Claimant: MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL #14,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail the build	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ling.
18. Documents c the property.	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
	ty. □ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the nt, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.